

NAME	VALUE
redo entries (4)	4567
redo size (788)	32300380
undo change vector size (104)	42248
rollback changes - undo records applied (1)	16
IMU undo allocation size (368)	10364
IMU Redo allocation size (496)	13672

*** Note specifically that redo entries has increased by 4 and redo size has increased by 788.

*** Now repeat demo again, this time with the PK using a non-unique index.

SQL> DROP TABLE redo_test;

Table dropped.

SQL> CREATE TABLE redo_test (id NUMBER, value VARCHAR2(100) PRIMARY KEY USING INDEX (CREATE INDEX redo_test_i ON redo_test(value)));

Table created.

SQL> INSERT INTO redo_test VALUES (1, '1234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890');

1 row created.

SQL> COMMIT;

Commit complete.

**** In one session, run the following (where sid = sid of other session):

SQL> select n.name, s.value from v\$sesstat s, v\$statname n where s.statistic# = n.statistic# and n.name like '%do%' and value <> 0 and s.sid=144;

NAME	VALUE
redo entries	4687
redo size	32330364
undo change vector size	50524

```

rollback changes - undo records applied          16
IMU undo allocation size                        11140
IMU Redo allocation size                        13672

```

*** In the another session, run the following INSERT to again cause a violation of the Primary Key:

```

SQL> INSERT INTO redo_test VALUES (1,
'1234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234
56789012345678901234567890');
INSERT INTO redo_test VALUES (1,
'1234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234
56789012345678901234567890')
*
ERROR at line 1:
ORA-00001: unique constraint (BOWIE.SYS_C0048291) violated

```

*** Back in the first session, run the select again.

*** Note the figures in the first () represent the differences in values between the select statements.

*** The figures in the second () represent the differences between the Unique and Non-Unique index

NAME	VALUE
redo entries	4695
(8) (4)	
redo size	32333044
(2680) (1892)	
undo change vector size	51340
(816) (712)	
rollback changes - undo records applied	21
(5) (4)	
IMU undo allocation size	13140
(2000) (1632)	
IMU Redo allocation size	15508
(1836) (1340)	

*** Note specifically that redo entries has increased by 8 (not 4) and redo size has increased by 2680 (not 788).

*** Note also that costs associated with undo have also increased from the first run with the Unique PK